INTERNAL RULES OF THE ICG MODIFIED JULY 2022

1- The Instances of the *dispositif* of the pass

1.1 ICG

1.2 Secretary of the ICG

1.3 CAOE

1.4 The cartels

1.5 The local secretary of the pass

2 - Functioning of the *dispositif* of the pass

2.1. The list of *passeurs*

- 2.2. The stages of its functioning
- 2.3. The transmission of the answers of the cartels
- 2.4. The *passeurs*
- 2.5. The International Commission of Accreditation of AMS

3 - Addenda: the admission of the members of the School

1 - THE INSTANCES OF THE DISPOSITIF OF THE PASS 2020/2022

1.1. ICG

8 members for the *dispositif* of France and attached: Sidi Askofaré, Nicolas Bendrihen, Cathy Barnier, Christophe Charles, Marie-José Latour, Sophie Rolland Manas, Colette Soler, Bernard Toboul

4 members for the *dispositif* of Spain: Ana Alonso, Mikel Plazaola, Manel Rebollo, Trinidad Sánchez Biezma.

5 members for the *dispositif* **of America:** Julieta De Battista (AL-S), Sandra Berta (Brazil), María de los A. Gómez (AL-N), Fernando Martínez (AL-S), Beatriz Oliveira (Brazil).

1.2 SECRETARY OF THE ICG

Colette Soler (for Europe), Sandra Berta (for America).

1.3 COLLEGE OF ANIMATION AND ORIENTATION OF THE SCHOOL (CAOE) 2020-2022

Julieta De Battista (AL-S), Sandra Berta (Brazil). María de los A. Gómez (AL-N), Maria-Teresa Maiocchi ((Italy - FPL), Mikel Plazaola (Spain), Colette Soler (Europe).

1.4 THE CARTELES

1. The cartels of the pass. They decide on the passes and are composed from within the ICG case by case, according to the passes that have finished and in accordance with the linguistic competencies and analytic incompatibilities

2. The Work of the CIG

As they already do with regard to the passes after the Cartels of the Pass have spoken, the 17 members of the ICG periodically meet to elaborate on crucial problems internal to psychoanalysis, especially those that arise in passes that have been heard. This new arrangement aims at a better exchange. They shall ensure an account of their debates and, at the end of their mandate, evaluate this new formula for work.

1.5 THE LOCAL DISPOSITIFS OF THE SCHOOL

FRANCE

Commission of reception and guarantee (CAG) for the admission of members and the guarantee (pass and AMS): Anne Marie Combres, Marie Noëlle Jacob Duvernet, Didier Grais (secretary), François Lespinasse, Colette Sepel.

SPAIN

Commission of admission and guarantee –CAG –DEL-F9 (FFCLE): Dolors Camos (guarantee), Camila Vidal (secretary of the pass), Rithée Cevasco (admissions to the School)

AMERICA

Local Commission of reception and guarantee for Latin America (CLGAL)

AL-N, AL-S, Brasil- (secretary of the pass)

Maria Vitória Bittencourt (Brazil), Dominique Fingermann (Brazil), Leonardo Leibson (AL-S), Beatriz Helena Maya (AL-N)

2 - FUNCTIONING OF THE DISPOSITIF OF THE PASS

2.1 The list of passeurs

This is established in each *dispositif* by the local secretaries of the pass (see below § 2.2), based upon proposals by the AMS of the local *dispositif*, or of another, if the *passeur* lives, speaks the language of the country of the other *dispositif* and that is where he/she exercises their main activity. The local secretaries transmit it to the ICG which holds the list for the entire set of the dispositifs, along with all information from the files of the *passeurs* that the secretariat sends to the ICG at the beginning of its mandate.

2.2 The stages of the functioning of the dispositif

The demands for the pass are received locally by the secretaries of the pass who also establish the list of *passeurs*. This list is revised and updated regularly by these same secretaries. The candidate is received by a member of the local secretariat of the pass, then is discussed with the rest of the secretariat which then ratifies or declines the demand. In the latter case and if necessary, a second interview may be scheduled. The secretariat transmits their answer to the candidate and possibly may choose, at random, his/her *passeurs*. The secretary also tells the *passant* that once his testimony ends, he/she must inform the secretary. A *passant* may, if they consider it opportune, refuse a *passeur* and choose one more name randomly.

The secretaries of the pass transmit to the secretaries of the ICG:

- the list of the demands for the pass,

- the list of the passes that have actually proceeded after the interview(s) of the candidates with one or several member(s) of the secretariat, along with the name of the two *passeurs*, and the file of the *passant* which they have received from the secretariat, complete with all the information necessary for the composition of a cartel of the pass.

The ICG is responsible for directing the passes to one of the cartels of the pass, keeping in mind the languages and the incompatibilities. It would be convenient to avoid the presence of the following people in the cartel: the analyst of the *passant*, the analyst of a *passeur*, the *passant's* current control analyst, possibly an analysand of the same analyst, as well as persons who are too close, for example, on account of participation in a cartel.

2.3 Transmission of the answers of the cartels of the pass

The cartel composes their answer to the *passant* without needing to justify it and in the simplest of ways. According to the case: "the cartel has nominated you AS", or "the cartel has not nominated you AS". They transmit also the composition of the cartel which has decided over this pass. On this basis, each cartel evidently has to evaluate according to the particular cases, if they want to add something else. The answer is without delay.

A member of the cartel, chosen by the cartel, transmits orally the answer to the *passant*, personally when possible or on the phone when distance does not allow it. The *passant* may request, if they so desire, to have another meeting with another member of the cartel.

The answer of nomination or not nomination is communicated, to be archived, with the composition of the cartel of the pass, to the secretary of the ICG and to the secretary of the pass of the *dispositif* concerned.

The secretariat of the ICG (composed of the two ICG secretaries) makes sure that the Notebook is kept of all the stages. The version in paper of this *Notebook of passes* is transmitted from ICG to ICG.

The ICG transmits the set of the rules of functioning to the different local secretaries of the pass

2.4 The passeurs

The AMS of the EPFCL may designate *passeurs* as the text of the "Principles" outlines. They do so in the moment they consider opportune, through the local secretary of the pass of their Local *Dispositif* of the School (LDS), or of other *dispositif*, if the *passeur* lives, speaks the language of the country of that other *dispositif* and exercises his/her main activity in such country.

The secretaries of the pass randomly choose *passeurs* for the *passants* from a list of *passeurs*. This list is composed taking into account the incompatibilities—linked to the possible risks of transferential bias—such as *passeurs* who have the same analyst, a *passeur* in supervision with or in analysis with the analyst of the *passant*, a *passeur* in a cartel with the *passant* or with the analyst or the supervisor of the *passant*. Also, it is imperative to give preference to *passeurs* not yet in an ongoing pass or, failing this, have fewer ongoing passes. The *passeurs* must necessarily speak the same language as the *passant* or a language the latter speaks. If a dispositif lacks passers who speak the language of a candidate for the pass, the secretary may reach out to the ICG which holds the notebook of passes, in order to be informed of other dispositifs that have passers speaking this language.

The period of the *passeur* is limited to two years, or to three passes. When a *passeur* has not been randomly chosen for two years after their designation, the AMS who designated him/her is informed and may or may not renew the designation, if this would be necessary for the functioning of the local *dispostif* due to its lack of *passeurs*.

It is necessary that the secretaries of the pass make sure that the *passant*, who has already chosen randomly his/her *passeurs*, be ready to begin the testimony without delay, and that they watch for the testimony not to be prolonged indefinitely.

2.5 The International Commission of Accreditation of the AMS

Composition of the Commission

The second year of their period, the ICG composes within it the International Commission of Accreditation of the AMS. Their composition respects certain proportion regarding the number of members of the School in each zone. The list of the new AMS is published in June/July of the second year.

Functioning

At the beginning of their period, the ICG reminds to the local commissions, of the tasks that pertain to them regarding the criteria and the gathering of the information on the possible AMS, especially by the Forums attached to a *dispositif*. The local Commissions for the guarantee, transmit, in time established by the ICG, the proposals of AMS that they consider should be examined by the International Commission of Accreditation (CAI)

3 - ADDENDA: ADMISSION OF MEMBERS TO THE SCHOOL

A) The articulation between the admission to the Forum and to the School

The rule that consists in entering in first place to the Forum, and then to the School, seems worthy of keeping. However it should be applied sensibly and in exceptional cases a simultaneous admission to the Forum and the School may be considered.

B) The question of criteria was examined again and has lead to the following suggestions:

Two interviews or an interview with two people of the Commission don't seem excessive. The regular participation in the activities of the Forum or Pole must be taken into account, especially in cartels, and eventually in the Clinical College to which the candidate belongs to. In addition, also the wider participation in the national activities, for example the meeting of cartels, is also of weight.

In as much as our School has international *dispositifs*, the international dimension cannot be ignored. Such dimension must be presented from the very first interview, so that the candidate gets to know it before the second interview. The papers published in Journées, inter-cartels, etc., are an objective factor of the implication of the candidate to be taken into account. The consultation with the analyst or control analyst cannot be an obligation. Corresponds to the Commission to judge if, given the case, this may be opportune.

C) Condition of admission as a member of the School of the Forums attached to France:

The general condition to admit a member to the School belonging to an attached dispositif is that his analytic formation be sufficiently known. On this bases the CAG evidently gives adequate responses to each case. On the other hand, if one of the candidates has done the pass, and has not been nominated an AS, the cartel that has heard his/her testimony may eventually propose their admission as a member of the School.